

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 19.0. Box 1450 14. Alexandria. Virginia 22313:1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,045	02/04/2004	Leif O. Erickson	56165US011	3924
32692	7590 11/03/2005		EXAM	INER
	ATIVE PROPERTIES	MIGGINS, M	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1772	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/772,045	ERICKSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Miggins	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	11 August 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docun	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
I)	· -	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	"	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN

1. The 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection set forth in the non-final rejection of 5/11/05, pages 3, paragraphs 7-8 are withdrawn.

REJECTIONS REPEATED

- 2. All of the 103(a) rejections set forth in the non-final rejection of 5/11/05, pages 3-
- 4, paragraphs 9-10 are repeated for the reasons of record.

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundet (US 5665446) in view of Futhey (US 5840407).

Claims 7-10 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-6 in the non-final rejection of 5/11/05, pages 3-4, paragraphs 9-10. Claims 7-9 recite the same limitations, albeit with different dependencies, as claims 2-4. Claim 10 recites that projecting portions are disposed at right angles. However, Futhey discloses beveled films. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided

Art Unit: 1772

right angles in order to provide a more aesthetically pleasing appearance since it has been held that finding the optimum workable range or variable is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art (MPEP 2144) (applies to instant claim 10).

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/05 have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant has argued that the claimed lengths of decorative film tape were well known prior to the present invention and that such lengths of tape have been used to decorate glass substrates, however, there has been no laminate of the type claimed that includes such lengths formed and applied by a computer operated tape applicator. However, the method by which an article is made is not germane to the patentability of the article in a product claim (MPEP 2113).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Suhole tys

Art Unit 1772

MCM October 31, 2005